

# Modelling Plasma - Planetary surfaces – S/C interactions with SPIS

F Cipriani, D Rodgers, and A Hilgers

European Space Research and Technology Centre, Netherlands

(fabrice.cipriani@esa.int)

SPINE, Uppsala, Sweden 17-19/01/2011

European Space Agency





 Solar System missions (EJSM, Bepi Colombo, ESA Lunar Lander, Asteroids exploration, etc ...) will be concerned with planetary surfaces potentials

- affects charged particles trajectories  $\rightarrow$  distributions measured by plasma intruments at S/C

- affects plasma driven desorption processes of particles from the surface (e.g. icy moons)

 affects near surface electro-magnetic environment : dust charging, differential charging of landed / permanent human based systems resulting in potential hazards

Need for a modelling capability addressing missions (and science) related aspects may arise

### **Rationale : Moon case**



VEXB



Lunar Surface

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For Official Use

#### **Rationale : Moon case**







#### Negative charging inside polar Lunar craters near the terminator (LP/ER) (Farrell et al 2010)





# Modelling Example 1 : Lander case



**PIC-PIC** approach :

Moon in 'a' plasma sheet (ne=ni=0.1cm<sup>-3</sup>, Te=Ti=1keV,
Vorb = 1km/s)

- Nightside
- (no photoemission)
- Secondary electrons

(no hoping)



- Lunar Surface = bottom boundary
- Symmetrical boundaries conditions on lateral boundaries → 'reflect' near surface conditions
- Moon surface = OSR, Lander = Kapton !!
- Box size = 40x20x20m, Lander diameter = 1.75m, tetrahedron number ~ 12000 ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

## Modelling Example 1 : Lander case









Collected current [A] versus time [s]: all populations, node 0 ve



# Modelling case 2 : Orbiter



- Potential differences between surfaces and S/C during measurements in planetary environments : EJSM, Bepi Colombo, etc ... BUT also needs B structure
- When S/C altitude << Object Radius → flat surface, boundary. Otherwise more problematic.
- Distance S/C surface >> Debye length → require multi-scale/grid approach
- 4. Interactions with neutrals, charged particles, photo and electronimpact ionization will change the current balance between the surface and the S/C
- Specific surface processes have to be considered (e.g. sputtering, psd) as sources of charged particles

# Modelling case 3 : dust charging



- Relevant to : dust instrumentation, dust impacts, electrostatic interaction of dust with materials and related hazards assessment (abrasive effect on materials, contamination of life support systems, reduced visibility and optical quality)
- 2. Modelling needs :
- dust charging processes : photoemission, ions/electrons sticking, SEE
- dust transport : gravity and surface electric fields
- interaction with materials : adhesion (cause mechanical friction), charged dust coatings (e.g. solar panels on rovers)



# Modelling case 3 : dust charging





#### Spirit Rover, October 2007



European Space Agency



### **Conclusion : Possible new requirements for SPIS**

- Ability to account for a new class of materials : planetary/dust surface with same physical/electrical properties than S/C materials + e.g. porosity/absorption, sticking coefficients ...
- Ability to account for various (near surface) plasma distributions wrt open space (particles absorption, planetary shielding, leading/trailing effects, etc ...)
- Ability to easily input/modify SEE parameters
- Ability to solve a circuit describing several floating objects : S/C potentials, surface wrt plasma
- Ability to include various B configurations
- Ability to simulate charging and transport in large regions in space (~ x100km)
- Ability to model charging, transport and interactions of micron size particles with S/C surfaces

→ New and interesting challenges wrt science missions support ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For Official Use European Space Agency